

**This document lists feedback from schools and the public to the proposed Organizational Performance Framework during and after informational sessions held in Hilo and O`ahu.**

<b>Comment/Feedback</b>	<b>Response</b>
<b>Please share comments/feedback regarding the overall framework proposal.</b>	
Reduce the amount of compliance tasks to only the ones necessary to receive State and Federal funds and stop the nonsense magnifying glass compliance oversight. This is one reason charters were started to minimize not increase the amount of bureaucracy in reporting. Mandate only necessary meaningful task.	The intent of the revision of the Organizational Framework was to clearly provide schools with an understanding of the specific areas that the Commission would be reviewing in terms of compliance requirements.
I'm on the fence until more information is provided regarding Indicator 2.	The Commission appreciates the comment.
<b>Please share comments/feedback regarding Indicator 1: Access and Equity</b>	
Of course.	The Commission appreciates the comment.
This looks reasonable.	The Commission appreciates the comment.
<b>Please share comments/feedback regarding Indicator 2: Compliance</b>	
Of course.	The Commission appreciates the comment.
I don't feel comfortable with this until more specifics are provided regarding health and safety, primarily regarding school vans.	The revised Organizational Framework specifically references the statute regarding school transportation that schools will be evaluated on.
The number of tasks should be within reason and schools should be provided advanced notice. A list of tasks and due dates should be distributed at the beginning of the year and those should be the only tasks judged by completion.	The revised Organizational Framework attempts to minimize tasks through the audit function and by setting the point of review at the end of the school year.
<b>Please share comments/feedback regarding Indicator 3: Governance</b>	
No way. Simply put, "charter schools and their governing boards should adhere to all applicable State and Federal Laws." It is already spelled out in 302D, so adding legal ramifications outside of that is not appropriate for the Commission.	All governing board requirements have been taken out of Section 302D-12; boards will need to demonstrate that they have process/procedures in place that allow them to meet statutory requirements.
This looks reasonable as long as the need to enter tasks in epicenter don't become excessive.	The Commission appreciates the comment and will take it into

Comment/Feedback	Response
	consideration in setting requirements.